

3339

From: miansen@1791.com
To: [HR, PHRC Regs Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] IRRR 3339 Protected classes by PHRC
Date: Monday, May 2, 2022 8:00:08 PM

***ATTENTION:** This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.*

IRRC 3339 Protected classes by PHRC

The only stakeholders consulted for this proposed regulation by the HRC's own documentation are LGBTQ groups. LGBTQ as a stakeholder can only properly be defined as a loosely related group of individuals who share a common ideology/activist/political message and in no way can be considered a specific group to be viewed under civil rights violations, though it's clear this is the way the HRC is viewing them.

How do support LGBTQ as I defined? They don't properly accept gay or trans etc members who don't support their total belief system (ie that sex is artificial construct, that biological fundamentals like genetics/structural hormones/gametes define the binary male/female immutable fact, that changing some structures/hormones later in life does not eliminate that fact, and growing belief that childhood innocence is also artificial construct).

No other political/activist group gets such protections not would have exclusive influence over defining such protections. An equivalent would be if you were going to put a group like the Tea Party by a few definitions into civil rights laws.

At best this group could be classified as an ideological belief system group to be given the same protections as any other "creed" - meaning they couldn't be discriminated against for holding such a belief (identity critical theory is probably the best umbrella term) but certainly cannot have their beliefs forced onto others as a form of non-discrimination (ie not affirming their beliefs cannot be a form of discrimination just as we do not ask non-christians to affirm christian beliefs by word or deed or non-muslims to affirm muslim beliefs by word or deed).

For example "dead naming" or not using a believers desired pronouns cannot be a form of discrimination just as not proclaiming Jesus is Lord, saying there is no god, or treating the koran as a sacred object/ or drawing Mohammad cannot be a form of discrimination.

M Jansen

RECEIVED

MAY 11 2022

Independent Regulatory
Review Commission